1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
11	Plaintiff,)) Case No. 2:14-cr-00232-GMN-NJK
12	VS.) ORDER
13	FREDERICK JOHN RIZZOLO,))
14	Defendant.	
15	——————————————————————————————————————	
16	Pending before the Court is Defendant's motion to dismiss, filed on November 25, 2015. Docket	
17	No. 45. Since the motion was filed, the United States and Defendant have submitted numerous	
18	stipulations to extend the deadline for the response and reply to the motion, each time submitting that	
19	the parties were attempting to negotiate the resolution of the case, which would obviate the need for the	
20	resolution of the motion to dismiss. See, e.g., Docket Nos. 53, 57, 59, 64, 73, 79, 85, 98.	
21	Most recently, the Court granted in part the parties' ninth stipulation to extend the time for	
22	Defendant to file his reply, until May 1, 2017. Docket No. 101. See also Docket No. 100. Nonetheless,	
23	Defendant failed to file a reply to the motion to dismiss on May 1, 2017. See Docket. Chief Judge	
24	Navarro has set a firm trial date in this matter for July 10, 2017. Docket No. 97 at 5. The Court cannot	
25	discern from the current filings whether the parties have negotiated the resolution of the case or whether	
26	they intend to proceed to trial in July.	
27		
	1	

Accordingly, no later than May 3, 2017, the parties shall file a notice on the docket as to whether they have successfully negotiated the resolution of the instant case, or whether they intend to proceed with the motion to dismiss.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 2, 2017.

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge